

BREUIL-MÉZARD AND AUTOMORPHY

JAMES NEWTON
NOTES BY ASHWIN IYENGAR

1

CONTENTS

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | Recollection of the (numerical) Breuil-Mézard conjectures | 1 |
| 2. | Patching Functors | 2 |

These notes are sketchy, use at your own risk

The goal is to explain the relationship between automorphy lifting theorems in the global context and the Breuil-Mézard conjecture, which is purely local statement. We will look at the work of Gee-Kisin showing that automorphy lifting theorems imply the Breuil-Mézard conjecture when $n = 2$ and for potentially Barsotti-Tate representations. When $n = 2$ and $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$, Kisin shows the other direction.

The magic ingredient to go between these two things is the Taylor-Wiles-Kisin patching method: we won't give details, but we'll give the context.

1. RECOLLECTION OF THE (NUMERICAL) BREUIL-MÉZARD CONJECTURES

Let K/\mathbf{Q}_p be a p -adic field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K and residue field k . We'll fix $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$, and we work with potentially crystalline lifting rings in this lecture. We fix λ a Hodge type, i.e. a tuple $(\lambda_{1,\iota} \geq \lambda_{2,\iota} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n,\iota})$ for each $\iota : K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$, and we fix $\tau : I_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ a representation with open kernel. This allows us to define the complete local Noetherian \mathcal{O} -algebra (\mathcal{O} is the integers in some p -adic coefficient ring E)

$$R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda,\tau}$$

which classifies potentially crystalline lifts of $\bar{\rho}$ with Hodge-Tate weights $\lambda + \eta = (\lambda_{1,\iota} + n - 1 > \lambda_{2,\iota} + n - 2 > \dots > \lambda_{n,\iota})$ and with inertial type τ . The ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda,\tau}$ is reduced and equidimensional, and $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda,\tau}[1/p]$ is regular.

To (λ, τ) we associate the locally algebraic representation $\sigma^{\mathrm{cris}}(\lambda, \tau)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ on a finite dimensional E -vector space. Recall that

$$\sigma^{\mathrm{cris}}(\lambda, \tau) = \sigma_{\mathrm{alg}}(\lambda) \otimes \sigma_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{cris}}(\tau)$$

The point is that $\sigma_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{cris}}(\tau)$ detects irreducible representations π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ where $\mathrm{rec}(\pi)|_{I_K} \cong \tau$ and $N = 0$. We choose $L_{\lambda,\tau} \subseteq \sigma^{\mathrm{cris}}(\lambda, \tau)$ a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ -stable \mathcal{O} -lattice. Then

$$(L_{\lambda,\tau} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)^{ss} \cong \bigoplus_{V \text{ irreducible } \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p\text{-reps of } \mathrm{GL}_n(k)} V^{\oplus m_V(\lambda,\tau)}$$

Date: March 20, 2019.

¹Notes taken by Ashwin Iyengar, and have not been looked at or edited by the speaker

Conjecture 1.0.1. *There exist non-negative integers $\mu_V(\bar{\rho})$ such that*

$$e(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda, \tau} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p) = \sum_V m_V(\lambda, \tau) \mu_V(\bar{\rho})$$

2. PATCHING FUNCTORS

As before we have $\mathcal{O} \subseteq E$. The patching method spits out $R_\infty = R_{\bar{\rho}}^\square[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]$, with some auxiliary patching variables. Let \mathcal{C} be the category of finitely generated \mathcal{O} -modules with a continuous action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Some examples of objects are $L_{\lambda, \tau}$ and V a Serre weight. Let $X_\infty = \mathrm{Spec} R_\infty$ and $R_\infty^{\lambda, \tau} = R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda, \tau} \otimes_{R_{\bar{\rho}}} R_\infty$, and let $X_\infty^{\lambda, \tau} = \mathrm{Spec} R_\infty^{\lambda, \tau}$.

Definition 2.0.1. A **patching functor** is a nonzero covariant exact \mathcal{O} -linear functor

$$M_\infty : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X_\infty).$$

satisfying:

- For any (λ, τ) , the action of R_∞ on $M_\infty(L_{\lambda, \tau})$ factors through $R_\infty^{\lambda, \tau}$, and $M_\infty(L_{\lambda, \tau})$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay over $R_\infty^{\lambda, \tau}$. This implies that the support of $M_\infty(L_{\lambda, \tau})$ is equal to a union of irreducible components in $X_\infty^{\lambda, \tau}$. It also implies that $M_\infty(L_{\lambda, \tau})[1/p]$ is locally free over $X_\infty^{\lambda, \tau}[1/p]$.
- $M_\infty(L_{\lambda, \tau})[1/p]$ is locally free of rank 1 over its support.
- If V is an irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ -representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$, then $M_\infty(V)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $\dim(R_\infty^{\lambda, \tau} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p) =: d$, i.e. the support is equidimensional of dimension d .

Let $X_\infty(V)$ be the closed subscheme of X_∞ cut out by $M_\infty(V)$. A very special example is when $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ and $n = 2$. Then P was the universal deformation of Π^\vee . We define an exact covariant functor

$$\sigma \mapsto P \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)]]} \sigma.$$

More generally, one has to use the Taylor-Wiles-Kisin patching method, but the functor still looks like

$$\sigma \mapsto \sigma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)]]} M_\infty$$

where M_∞ is constructed globally using spaces of automorphic forms for a unitary group.

So how do you construct these M_∞ ? Step 0 is to first globalize $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ to

$$\bar{\tau} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$$

where F is a CM number field. In other words, there should be one conjugate pair v, \bar{v} lying above p such that $F_v \cong K$ and

$$\bar{\tau}|_{G_{F_v}} \cong \bar{\rho}.$$

Furthermore, $\bar{\tau}$ should come from an automorphic representation of a unitary group.

Consequences: when M_∞ is built by patching spaces of automorphic forms, then

- (1) $M_\infty(V) \neq 0$ if and only if $S(V^\vee)_{\bar{\tau}} \neq 0$ (here S denotes some appropriate space of automorphic forms of weight V^\vee , I think). In other words, if and only if $\bar{\tau}$ is automorphic of weight V .
- (2) If $\mathrm{supp} M_\infty(L_{\lambda, \tau}) = X_\infty^{\lambda, \tau}$, then $S(L_{\lambda, \tau}^\vee)_{\bar{\tau}}$ is supported on all of $\mathrm{Spec} R_{\bar{\tau}}^{\lambda, \tau}$, which is equivalent to proving an automorphy lifting theorem.

Lemma 2.0.2 (BM vs aut. lifting). *Suppose M_∞ is as above. Then*

$$e(M_\infty(L_{\lambda, \tau}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p, R_\infty^{\lambda, \tau} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p) \leq e(R_\infty^{\lambda, \tau} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$$

(where $e(M, R) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)} \max \dim e(R/\mathfrak{p}) \ell(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$) with equality if and only if $\mathrm{supp}(M_\infty(L_{\lambda, \tau})) = X_\infty^{\lambda, \tau}$.

Theorem 2.0.3 (Gee-Kisin). *Suppose M_∞ is a patching functor and suppose $M_\infty(L_{\lambda,\tau})$ is supported on $X_\infty^{\lambda,\tau}$. Then the conjecture holds for type λ, τ :*

$$e(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\lambda,\tau} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p) = \sum_V m_V(\lambda, \tau) e(M_\infty(V), R_\infty(V))$$

Theorem 2.0.4. *Suppose the Breuil-Mézard conjecture is true for some fixed λ, τ . Suppose M_∞ is a patching functor and $e(M_\infty(V), R_\infty(V)) \geq \mu_V(\bar{\rho})$ for all V such that $m_V(\lambda, \tau) > 0$. Then $\text{supp } M_\infty(L_{\lambda,\tau}) = X_\infty^{\lambda,\tau}$. In other words, we have proven an automorphy lifting theorem in type (λ, τ) .*

Proof. Compute $e(M_\infty(L_{\lambda,\tau}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ in terms of $e(M_\infty(V))$ and apply the lemma. □