

ARITHMETIC LEVEL-RAISING FOR EVEN N

ASHWIN IYENGAR

CONTENTS

1. Setup		1
2. Proof		2
2.1. Local Level-Raising Deformations		2
2.2. Global Deformations		3
2.3. Comparison with Cohomology		3
2.5. Equality of Ranks		4
2.7. Killing \mathfrak{n}		4
References		5

1. SETUP

We recall the basic setup.

- (1) F/F^+ is a CM extension of a totally real field,
- (2) $N = 2r > 1$ is an even integer,
- (3) Π is a relevant ([LTX⁺19, Definition 1.1.3]) representation of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$,
- (4) E is a number field containing the normalized Satake parameters of Π at unramified places (the authors call this a “strong coefficient field” ([LTX⁺19, Definition 3.2.5]),
- (5) Σ_{\min} is a finite set of non-archimedean places of F^+ containing the places above primes which ramify in F , and such that Π is unramified outside Σ_{\min} ,
- (6) Σ_{lr} is a finite set of non-archimedean places in F^+ which are inert in F , away from Σ_{\min} ,
- (7) Σ is a finite set containing Σ_{\min} and Σ_{lr} ,
- (8) $\lambda \mid \ell$ is a finite place in E such that $\ell \nmid \|v\|(|v| - 1)$ for $v \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{lr}}$. Let $O = O_{E,\lambda}$ with residue field k

Then we get a system of Hecke eigenvalues

$$\phi_{\Pi} : \mathbb{T}^{\Sigma} \rightarrow O$$

where \mathbb{T}^{Σ} is given by the restricted tensor product of the spherical Hecke algebras away from Σ . There is an associated Galois representation

$$\rho : \Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(O)$$

satisfying $\rho^c \sim \rho^{\vee}(1 - N)$ and the usual Frobenius-Satake compatibility. As Toby mentioned last week, $\bar{\rho}$ extends to a representation $\bar{r} : \Gamma_{F^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_N(k)$. Our deformation problems will be deformations of \bar{r} .

We pick an odd place $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of F^+ such that

- (1) \mathfrak{p} is inert in F and p is unramified in F
- (2) $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+ = \mathbb{Q}_p$

- (3) $\Sigma \nmid p$
- (4) $\ell \nmid p(p^2 - 1)$
- (5) the Satake parameter at p contains $\{p, p^{-1}\}$ exactly once and does not contain $\{-1, -1\} \pmod{\lambda}$.

I'm omitting some other assumptions: see the beginning of [LTX+19, Section 6] for the details. Finally let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m} &:= \mathbb{T}^{\Sigma \cup \Sigma_p} \cap \ker(\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Pi}} O_E \twoheadrightarrow O_E/\lambda) \\ \mathfrak{n} &:= \mathbb{T}^{\Sigma \cup \Sigma_p} \cap \ker(\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\Pi}} O_E \twoheadrightarrow O_E/\lambda^m) \end{aligned}$$

where $m > 1$ is some integer.

Recall that we have to choose a certain standard Hermitian space V° of rank N over F , which for us will be non-split at every place in Σ_{lr} , and we pick a nice enough level structure K° . For simplicity, write

$$\tilde{H}^i := H_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\overline{M}_N, R\Psi O) \text{ and } O[\text{Sh}] := O[\text{Sh}(V^{\circ}, K^{\circ})].$$

We make two more assumptions ([LTX+19, Assumptions 6.1.(4,5)]).

- (1) $\tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^i = 0$ for $i \neq N - 1$, and $\tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}$ is finite free over O .
- (2) $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible.

Now recall from David's last talk that under these assumptions we have an injective map

$$(*) \quad F_{-1}H^1(I_{p^2}, \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r)) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r)) := H^1(I_{p^2}, \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r))^{\text{Frob}_{p^2}}$$

and a surjective map

$$(*') \quad F_{-1}H^1(I_{p^2}, \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r)) \twoheadrightarrow O[\text{Sh}]_{\mathfrak{m}} / ((p+1)R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} - I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ})$$

where $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}$ are some explicit Hecke operators defined in [LTX+19, Proposition 5.7.(1,8)]. The goal is to prove:

Theorem 1.1 ([LTX+19, Theorem 6.3.4]). *Assume*

- (1) $\ell \geq 2(N+1)$ and ℓ is unramified in F
- (2) \bar{r} is rigid for $(\Sigma_{\text{min}}, \Sigma_{\text{lr}})$: this means that every lift of a place $v \in \Sigma_{\text{min}}$ is minimally ramified, the set of generalized eigenvalues of $\bar{r}(\text{Frob}_v^2)$ contains the pair $\{\|v\|^{-N}, \|v\|^{-N+2}\}$ exactly once, and \bar{r} is Fontaine-Laffaille at places dividing ℓ .
- (3) ϕ_{Π} is cohomologically generic,
- (4) $O[\text{Sh}]_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$.

Then (among other things) $(*)$ and $(*')$ are both isomorphisms, and in particular induce isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} F_{-1}H^1(I_{p^2}, \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r)/\mathfrak{n}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} O[\text{Sh}]/\mathfrak{n} \\ F_{-1}H^1(I_{p^2}, \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r)/\mathfrak{n}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r)/\mathfrak{n}) \end{aligned}$$

2. PROOF

2.1. Local Level-Raising Deformations. Let $v \in \Sigma_{\text{lr}} \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ with $q = \|v\|$ and let w denote the unique prime in F living over it. Fix a lift r_v of \bar{r}_v to a coefficient ring R . Then by our assumption on the Satake parameters we can decompose

$$R^{\oplus N} = M_0 \oplus M_1$$

which is $r_v^{\natural}(\text{Frob}_w)$ -stable, and is such that $P_w(T) \equiv (T - q^{-N})(T - q^{-N+2}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_R}$ (here P_w is the characteristic polynomial of Frob_w on M_0). Now recall that

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{mix}} = \{\text{lifts such that } M_0 \oplus M_1 \text{ is stable under } r^{\natural}(\mathbb{I}_w), \mathbb{I}_w \text{ unipotent on } M_0, \mathbb{I}_w \text{ trivial on } M_1\}$$

We also have

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{unr}} = \{r \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{mix}} : \mathbb{I}_w \text{ trivial on } M_0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{ram}} = \{r \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{mix}} : P_w(T) = (T - q^{-N})(T - q^{-N+2})\}$$

In fact, if we let $r_{v,\text{mix}} : \Gamma_{F_v^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_N(\mathbb{R}_v^{\text{mix}})$ denote the universal lift, then (up to conjugation)

$$r_{v,\text{mix}}^{\natural}(\text{Frob}_w) = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-N} \frac{1+x}{1+y} & \\ & q^{-N+2} \frac{1+y}{1+x} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$r_{v,\text{mix}}^{\natural}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $x, y, x_0 \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}_v^{\text{mix}}}$ such that $x_0(x - y) = 0$, where t is a generator of the inertia part. So

$$\mathbb{R}_v^{\text{unr}} = \mathbb{R}_v^{\text{mix}}/x_0, \quad \mathbb{R}_v^{\text{ram}} = \mathbb{R}_v^{\text{mix}}/(x - y)$$

2.2. Global Deformations. For $? \in \{\text{mix}, \text{ram}, \text{unr}\}$, let $\mathbb{R}^?$ denote the global deformation ring parametrizing deformations

- with fixed similitude character ϵ_{ℓ}^{1-N}
- which are minimally ramified at Σ_{min}
- which land in \mathcal{D}^{ram} at Σ_{lr}
- which land in $\mathcal{D}^?$ at \mathfrak{p}
- which are Fontaine-Laffaille at Σ_{ℓ}
- and are unramified everywhere else.

As above we can find $x, y, x_0 \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}^{\text{mix}}}$ and $v, v' \in (\mathbb{R}^{\text{mix}})^{\oplus N}$ with eigenvalues $s = p^{-N}(1+x)/(1+y)$ and $s' = p^{-N+2}(1+y)/(1+x)$. We again have

$$\mathbb{R}^{\text{unr}} = \mathbb{R}^{\text{mix}}/x_0, \quad \mathbb{R}^{\text{ram}} = \mathbb{R}^{\text{mix}}/(x - y) = \mathbb{R}^{\text{mix}}/(s - p^{-N}), \quad \mathbb{R}^{\text{cong}} := \mathbb{R}^{\text{unr}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^{\text{mix}}} \mathbb{R}^{\text{ram}}$$

2.3. Comparison with Cohomology. Now if we let

$$\mathbb{T}^{\text{unr}} = \text{im}(\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma} \rightarrow \text{End}_O(O[\text{Sh}]))$$

then there exists a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{\text{unr}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}_m^{\text{unr}}$ which makes $O[\text{Sh}]_m$ a free $\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{unr}}$ -module of rank d_{unr} .

Similarly if we let

$$\mathbb{T}^{\text{ram}} = \text{im}(\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma \cup \Sigma_p} \rightarrow \text{End}_O(\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^{N-1}))$$

By surjectivity of $(*)$ we get that $\mathbb{T}_m^{\text{ram}} \neq 0$ and an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{\text{ram}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{T}_m^{\text{ram}}$ making $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_m^{N-1}$ a finite free \mathbb{R}^{ram} -module. Now we let

$$\mathbb{H} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}^{\text{ram}}[\Gamma_F]}(r_{\text{ram}}^{\natural, \text{c}}, \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_m^{N-1})$$

This is still a free \mathbb{R}^{ram} -module of some rank d_{ram} , and in fact we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_m^{N-1} \simeq \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^{\text{ram}}} r_{\text{ram}}^{\natural, \text{c}}$$

which follows from [LTX⁺19, Hypothesis 3.2.9], which is a multiplicity one result for discrete automorphic representations of an indefinite unitary group.

Lemma 2.4. *There exist isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} O[\mathrm{Sh}]_{\mathfrak{m}} / ((p+1)\mathbb{R}_p^\circ - \mathbb{I}_p^\circ) &= O[\mathrm{Sh}]_{\mathfrak{m}} / (\mathfrak{s} - p^{-N}) = O[\mathrm{Sh}]_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{unr}}} \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{cong}} \\ \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r)) &\simeq \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{ram}}} \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{cong}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First of all, an explicit computation in the Hecke algebra, done in appendix B, shows that

$$(p+1)\mathbb{R}_p^\circ - \mathbb{I}_p^\circ = p^{-r^2} \prod_{i=1}^r (\alpha_i + \alpha_i^{-1} - p - p^{-1})$$

where $\{p^{1-N}\alpha_i, p^{1-N}\alpha_i^{-1}\}$ runs over the eigenvalues of $r_{\mathrm{unr}}^{\natural}(\mathrm{Frob}_p^2)$ and we take $\alpha_r = sp^{N-1}$. But by our assumption on the Satake parameters, almost all of these will act invertibly, except for the α_r term. In this case the factor simplifies to the form $u(\mathfrak{s} - p^{-N})$ for some unit u . But this shows that

$$((p+1)\mathbb{R}_p^\circ - \mathbb{I}_p^\circ)O[\mathrm{Sh}]_{\mathfrak{m}} = (\mathfrak{s} - p^{-N})O[\mathrm{Sh}]_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

and so we're done with the definite case.

For the indefinite case, we have

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}(r)) = \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{ram}}} \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, r_{\mathrm{ram}}^{\natural, c}(r))$$

But taking $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{I}_{p^2}, -)$ is the same as taking coinvariants for the inertia action (i.e. kill the action of x_0) and twisting by -1 . Then we take Frob_w -invariants. If you do this correctly, you find that

$$\mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{ram}}} \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, r_{\mathrm{ram}}^{\natural, c}(r)) \cong \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{ram}}} \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{ram}} v' / x_0 \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{ram}} v' = \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{ram}}} \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{cong}}$$

□

2.5. Equality of Ranks. It remains to show that $d^{\mathrm{ram}} = d^{\mathrm{unr}}$. This is done using automorphic methods, and we sketch the proof here.

If we take geometric $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -points η_1 and η_2 of $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{unr}}$ and $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{ram}}$ which are respectively contained in the support of $O[\mathrm{Sh}]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{N-1}$, then these are the systems of Hecke eigenvalues for certain relevant representations Π_1 and Π_2 of $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathrm{unr}} &= \dim \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[\mathrm{Sh}][\phi_{\Pi_1}] \\ Nd_{\mathrm{ram}} &= \dim (\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^{N-1})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}[\phi_{\Pi_2}] = \dim \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{ét}}^{N-1}(\mathrm{Sh}(V'), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \end{aligned}$$

(here V' is the indefinite Hermitian space chosen as part of the indefinite uniformization data [LTX+19, Definition 5.1.6]) Furthermore, since both of these automorphic representations have residual system of Hecke eigenvalues given by \mathfrak{m} , we have an isomorphism of representations valued in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$:

$$\bar{\rho}_{\Pi_1} \cong \bar{\rho}_{\Pi_2} \cong \bar{\rho}$$

Lemma 2.6. *For each $v \in \Sigma_{\mathrm{min}}$, write V_1, V_2 for the underlying vector spaces of $\Pi_{1,v}$ and $\Pi_{2,v}$. Then there exists a $\mathrm{GL}_N(O_{F_v})$ -equivariant isomorphism $i : V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_2$ which commute with certain intertwining operators A_1, A_2 (i.e. linear maps which realize the conjugate self-duality).*

Then [LTX+19, Proposition D.2.3] gives the result by computing the desired dimensions in terms of traces of endoscopic transfers of certain functions composed with A_1 and A_2 .

We have thus deduced that $(*)$ and $(*')$ are both isomorphisms.

2.7. **Killing \mathfrak{n} .** A bit more work (done in [LTX⁺19, Section 6.4]) involving a more detailed analysis of the weight spectral sequence in the even case (specifically concerning the cohomology of the ground stratum \overline{M}_N and the eigenvalues of Frobenius acting on it) shows that

$$F_{-1}H^1(\mathbb{I}_{p^2}, \widetilde{H}_m^{N-1}(r))/\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow F_{-1}H^1(\mathbb{I}_{p^2}, \widetilde{H}^{N-1}(r)/\mathfrak{n})$$

and

$$H_{\text{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, \widetilde{H}_m^{N-1}(r))/\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}, \widetilde{H}^{N-1}(r)/\mathfrak{n})$$

are isomorphisms, so the main result follows.

REFERENCES

- [LTX⁺19] Yifeng Liu, Yichao Tian, Liang Xiao, Wei Zhang, and Xinwen Zhu. On the Beilinson-Bloch-Kato conjecture for Rankin-Selberg motives. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1912.11942, December 2019.