

SECTION 5

ASHWIN IYENGAR

1. REVIEW

Let G be a connected reductive group defined over \mathbb{F}_q and let $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$; as always, we implicitly identify G with its k -points. Last time we studied virtual representations constructed as follows.

Pick a maximal F -stable torus T in G . Temporarily fix a Borel B containing T . Last time, we constructed a G^F -equivariant T^F -torsor

$$Y_{T \subset B} \rightarrow X_{T \subset B}$$

Then, after fixing a character

$$\theta : T^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$$

we defined a virtual representation

$$R_T^\theta = R_{T \subset B}^\theta$$

which lives in $R(G^F)$, the Grothendieck group of representations of G^F . In particular, it was mentioned in Andy's talk that this representation does not depend on the choice of B containing T .

Today we will define an equivalence relation on the pairs (T, θ) called *geometric conjugacy*. The goal of the next talk is to show the following (somewhat vaguely stated) result.

Theorem 1.1. *If (T, θ) and (T', θ') are not geometrically conjugate, then $\langle R_T^\theta, R_{T'}^{\theta'} \rangle = 0$. On the other hand, if (T, θ) and (T', θ') are geometrically conjugate, then $\langle R_T^\theta, R_{T'}^{\theta'} \rangle$ can be computed explicitly in a simple way.*

2. GEOMETRIC CONJUGACY

Consider an F -stable maximal torus T in G . Let $F : T \rightarrow T$ denote the q -Frobenius. This map induces a map

$$F : X_*(T) \rightarrow X_*(T)$$

where $X_*(T) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$ denotes the cocharacter lattice of T . We have an F -equivariant isomorphism

$$X_*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k^\times \xrightarrow{h \otimes \alpha \mapsto h(\alpha)} T$$

where F acts on $X_*(T)$ via the map just defined, and *trivially* on k^\times . Thus we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T^F \rightarrow X_*(T) \otimes k^\times \xrightarrow{F-\text{id}} X_*(T) \otimes k^\times \rightarrow 0$$

But using the fact that $k^\times \cong (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{p'}$ and a clever application of the snake lemma, one can show that we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_*(T) \xrightarrow{F-\text{id}} X_*(T) \rightarrow T^F \rightarrow 0$$

Before stating the definition, we give another definition.

Definition 2.1. For $n > 0$, the norm map is the map

$$N = \frac{F^n - 1}{F - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F^i : T^{F^n} \rightarrow T^F$$

Lemma 2.2. *The map $X_*(T) \rightarrow T^{F^n} \xrightarrow{N} T^F$ is the same as $X_*(T) \rightarrow T^F$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let (T, θ) and (T', θ') be two pairs such that T, T' are F -stable maximal tori and θ and θ' are characters of T^F and $(T')^F$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists $g \in G$ such that $gTg^{-1} = T'$ and the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_*(T) & \longrightarrow & T^F & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \\ & & \downarrow \text{ad}(g) & \nearrow \theta' & \\ X_*(T') & \longrightarrow & (T')^F & & \end{array}$$

- (2) *For large enough n , there exists $g \in G^{F^n}$ such that $gTg^{-1} = T'$ and the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^{F^n} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \\ & \searrow \text{ad}(g) & \nearrow \theta' \\ (T')^{F^n} & & \end{array}$$

Definition 2.4. A pair (T, θ) and (T', θ') are *geometrically conjugate* if the two equivalent conditions in Proposition 2.3 hold.

Now fix an absolute torus \mathbb{T} (“the torus”). Now given a pair (T, θ) as above, we get a character of $X_*(\mathbb{T})$ by taking

$$X_*(\mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_*(T) \rightarrow T^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$$

and one can check that this doesn’t depend on the choice of conjugation isomorphism $X_*(\mathbb{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_*(T)$. But then θ defines an element of

$$\text{Hom}(X_*(\mathbb{T}), \mu_\infty(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times)) = \text{Hom}(X_*(\mathbb{T}), k^\times) \cong X^*(\mathbb{T}) \otimes k^\times$$

and construction this element is F -invariant. Note the Weyl group $W = N_G(\mathbb{T})/\mathbb{T}$ acts on $X^*(\mathbb{T})$ by precomposition with the action of W on \mathbb{T} . We let

$$\mathcal{S} := [(X^*(\mathbb{T}) \otimes k^\times)/W]^F$$

and denote the image of θ in \mathcal{S} by $[\theta]$.

Remark 2.5. $X^*(\mathbb{T}) = X_*(\mathbb{T}^\vee)$ where \mathbb{T}^\vee is the corresponding torus in the dual group G^\vee . Thus $X^*(\mathbb{T}) \otimes k^\times \cong (\mathbb{T}^\vee)$.

Proposition 2.6. *The association $(T, \theta) \mapsto [\theta]$ induces a bijection from the set of geometric conjugacy classes of pairs (T, θ) to \mathcal{S} . Furthermore, the number of geometric conjugacy classes is exactly $|(Z^0)^F|q^r$ where r is the semisimple rank of G and Z^0 is the connected component of the center of G .*

Proof. The map is well-defined by Proposition 2.3(1). It is injective because if $(T, \theta), (T', \theta')$ are two pairs with $[\theta] = [\theta']$ in $(X^*(\mathbb{T}) \otimes k^\times)/W$ then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_*(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ad } g} & X_*(T) & \longrightarrow & T^F & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \\ & & \downarrow \text{ad } w & & & \nearrow \theta' & \\ X_*(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\text{ad } g} & X_*(T) & \longrightarrow & T^F & & \end{array}$$

and thus the pairs are geometrically conjugate. For surjectivity, note that if the W -orbit of a map $\theta : X_*(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times$ is invariant under F , there must exist $w \in W$ such that $F \cdot w \cdot \theta = \theta$. Therefore, θ descends to a character $\mathbb{T}(w)^F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. But $\mathbb{T}(w)^F$ is equal to T^F for some F -stable maximal torus of G .

Next, we show that $|(\mathbb{T}^\vee/W)^F| = |(Z^0)^F|q^r$. By the Lefschetz fixed point theorem in étale cohomology, we have

$$|(\mathbb{T}^\vee/W)^F| = \sum (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(F, H_c^i(\mathbb{T}^*/W)) = \sum (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(F, H_c^i(\mathbb{T}^*)^W)$$

But there is an isogeny $T^\vee \cong (T')^\vee \times (Z^0)^\vee$ where T' is the torus in the derived subgroup of G . But W acts trivially on $(Z^0)^\vee = Z^0$, so

$$|(\mathbb{T}^\vee/W)^F| = |(Z^0)^F| = \sum (-1)^i \operatorname{tr}(F, H_c^i((\mathbb{T}')^*)^W)$$

Then using Poincaré duality, cohomology of a torus, and the fact that $X_*(T')^W = 0$, one can compute that the alternating sum is just q^r (for this see [DL76, Proposition 5.7]). \square

Example 2.7. Let's do the example of GL_2 over \mathbb{F}_p . Pick the maximal torus T to be just the upper triangular matrices: this is F -stable. Then $X_*(T) = \mathbb{Z}^2$, where (a, b) corresponds to

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x^a & \\ & x^b \end{pmatrix}$$

Note $F(x, y) = (px, py)$ and the cokernel of $F - \mathrm{id} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$ is clearly $\mathbb{Z}/p - 1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p - 1 \cong (\mathbb{F}_p)^\times = T^F$ (non-canonically).

In this case

$$\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} (k^\times/S^2)^F$$

which consists of (unordered) pairs (x, x) for $x \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, (x, y) for distinct $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, and distinct (x, x^p) for $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times \setminus \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Counting these up we get

$$(p-1) + \binom{p-1}{2} + \frac{(p^2-1) - (p-1)}{2} = p(p-1)$$

But note $Z^0 = \mathbb{G}_m$, which has $p-1$ F -rational points, and the semisimple rank is the rank of a maximal torus in $\mathrm{GL}_2/Z^0 = \mathrm{PGL}_2$, which is 1, so $|(Z^0)^F|q^r = p(p-1)$.

REFERENCES

- [DL76] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig. Representations of reductive groups over finite fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 103(1):103–161, 1976.