

# The $\mathrm{GL}_n$ eigenvariety and the $p$ -adic realisation of Venkatesh's conjecture

Ana Caraiani

notes by Pol van Hoften (and all errors due to him)

October 29, 2019

## 1 Setup and Motivation

The main reference for this talk is [HansenThorne]. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n/\mathbb{Z}$  for  $n \geq 2$  and in practice we will work with  $n > 3$ . Let  $\pi$  be a regular and ( $C$ )-algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ . This means that  $\pi$  contributes to the cohomology of the locally symmetric space associated to  $G$ , with coefficients in a local system with weight the highest weight of. Let  $E_\pi/\mathbb{Q}$  be the number field over which  $\pi$  is defined. For all places  $l$  of  $E_\pi$  we have Galois representations (constructed by [HLTT])

$$\rho_{\pi,l} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E_{\pi,l}).$$

These are not known to be geometric, but expected to come from a motive  $M(\pi)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , giving rise to the compatible system  $(\rho_{\pi,l})_l$ . Let  $Y_{\mathcal{K}}$  be the locally symmetric space for  $\mathcal{G}$  defined by

$$G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A})/\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}_\infty\mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

If  $\mathcal{K}$  is neat, then  $Y(K)$  has the structure of a Riemannian manifold. The representation  $\pi$  contributes to the Betti/singular cohomology of  $Y_{\mathcal{K}}$ :

$$H^*(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L}) := H^*(Y_{\mathcal{K}'}, \Lambda_{\lambda,L})^{\mathcal{K}}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathcal{K}$  is sufficiently small. Fix a torus and Borel  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{G}$  and a dominant weight  $\lambda \in X^*(\mathcal{T})^+$ . Then we can define a local system of  $L$ -vector spaces  $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L}$  on  $Y_{\mathcal{K}}$  where  $L$  is a number field or a  $p$ -adic field. The Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{T}_K$  of level  $K$  acts on  $H^*(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L})$

More precisely, since  $\pi_\infty$  is tempered we know that it contributes to

$$H^i(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L}) \text{ for } i \in [q_0, q_0 + l_0]$$

where  $q_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} Y_{\mathcal{K}} - l_0)$  and  $l_0$  is the defect of  $\mathcal{G}$  which is equal to  $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ . Moreover the contribution in degree  $q_0 + i$  has dimension

$$\binom{l_0}{i} \cdot \dim(\pi_f)^{\mathcal{K}}$$

**Conjecture 1.** *There is a natural action of*

$$\bigwedge^* \mathrm{Ext}_{MM_{\mathbb{Z}}}(M(\pi), M(\pi)(1))$$

on  $H^*(K, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, l}[\mathfrak{m}])$ . Here the ext-group is taken in the category of mixed motives over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , i.e., it is a motivic cohomology group. It has expected dimension  $l_0$  (by the Block-Kato conjecture) and should make

$$\bigwedge^* \text{Ext}_{\text{MM}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(M(\pi), M(\pi)(1))$$

into a free module of rank 1 (or  $\dim(\pi_f)^K$  over

$$\bigwedge^* \text{Ext}_{\text{MM}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(M(\pi), M(\pi)(1)).$$

The goal of this talk is to study the  $p$ -adic realisation of this conjecture, via properties of eigenvarieties.

## 2 Eigenvarieties

The idea of eigenvarieties goes back to Hida and Coleman and is (roughly speaking) that classical modular forms of weight  $k \geq 2$  can be put into  $p$ -adic families that vary continuously with respect to the weight, in the case that they have finite slope (valuation of the  $U_p$  eigenvalue). We will use Hansen's construction of eigenvarieties in [MR3692014], which gives a pair of rigid spaces over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$

$$w : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

where  $\mathcal{W}$  is the weight space, which represents the functor

$$X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(T(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathcal{O}(X)^\times).$$

The eigenvariety  $\mathcal{X}$  of tame level  $K^p$  is a rigid space whose closed points are in bijection with systems of Hecke eigenvalues occurring in

$$H^*(K^p I, \mathcal{D}_\lambda)$$

where  $I$  is the Iwahori subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\lambda$  is some big  $p$ -adic coefficient system. Moreover the map  $w$  is finite locally on  $\mathcal{X}$  and has discrete fibers. The Hecke algebra that acts is

$$\mathbb{T}(N, p) := \mathcal{H}(Np) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[X_*(T)^-]$$

where  $\mathcal{H}(Np)$  is the spherical Hecke algebra away from  $Np$ .

An example of a point is a pair  $(\pi, \mathcal{A})$  where  $\pi$  is as before and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a "refinement" ordering of the eigenvalues of Satake parameters of  $\pi_p$ .

## 3 Main theorems

Assume that the pair  $(\pi, \mathcal{A})$  satisfies

- A 1  $\pi$  is unramified at  $p$  and the Satake parameters of  $\pi_p$  are regular semisimple.
- A 2  $\mathcal{A}$  is an ordering of the eigenvalues of  $\pi_p$  that has "small slope" (for  $n=2$  this is just  $\text{val}(a_p) < k-1$ , which is related to Coleman classicality).

A 3 Parity condition on  $\pi_\infty$ .

Assumption A1 is necessary to prove that the Hecke eigenvalues occur in  $l_0 + 1$  degrees of cohomology, assumption A3 is not very serious.

If  $x = (\pi, \mathcal{A})$  is above then  $x \in \mathcal{X}(L)$  with  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite and assumed sufficiently large. We choose tame level  $K_1(N)$  where  $N$  is the conductor of  $\pi$  and  $K_1(N)$  is some mirabolic subgroup. We have complete local Noetherian  $L$ -algebras

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_x &:= \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x} \\ \Lambda &:= \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{W},\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda = w(x)$  and we note that  $\mathcal{T}_x$  is a finite  $\Lambda$ -algebra.

**Theorem 1** (Hansen-Thorne). *Under assumptions A1,A2,A3 we have*

$$\dim \mathbb{T}_x \geq \dim \Lambda - l_0 \tag{1}$$

and if equality holds we moreover have:

- The natural map  $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_x$  is surjective and  $\mathbb{T}_x$  is a complete intersection ring
- Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{T}^{(N),p}$  be the maximal ideal corresponding to  $(\pi, \mathcal{A})$ . Let  $L_{\lambda,L}$  be the algebraic coefficient system of  $L$ -vector spaces of weight  $\lambda$  on  $Y_{\mathcal{K}'}$  with  $\mathcal{K}' \subset K_1(N)^p I$ . Let

$$V_x := \ker(\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_x) \otimes_\Lambda L$$

which has dimension  $l_0$  by (1). Then

$$H^*(K_1(N)^p I, \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L}[\mathfrak{m}])$$

has a canonical structure of a  $\bigwedge^* V_x$ -module that is free of rank 1.

*Remark 1.* The equality in (1) was conjecture by Hida/Urban and is a non-abelian analogue of the Leopoldt conjecture (also see Calegari-Emerton conjectures for completed cohomology).

Let  $\rho_\pi : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$  be the Galois representation associated to  $\pi$  which satisfies:

- It is unramified outside of  $Np$ .
- For  $l \nmid Np$  the characteristic polynomial of  $\rho_\pi(\mathrm{Frob}_l)$  is determined by the Satake parameter of  $\pi_L$ .
- It is odd.

**Conjecture 2.** *The representation  $\rho_\pi$  satisfies the further conditions:*

1. *It is absolutely irreducible*
2. *It satisfies local-global compatibility at  $p$ . More precisely it is crystalline with Hodge-Tate weights determined by  $\lambda$  and the Frobenius eigenvalues match the Satake parameters on the Weil Deligne representation associated to*

$$\rho_\pi \Big|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$$

3. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{T}_x \cong R_{\rho_\pi, \alpha}$$

where the latter is a trianguline deformation ring (this is an  $R = \mathbb{T}$  type result).

*Remark 2.* We are far from knowing assumptions 1, but it is a reasonable thing to assume. It should be possible to prove assumption 2 with state of the art techniques. Kare and Thorne [MR3702498] sketch an idea for proving a result like 3 in the ordinary case by implementing the Calegari-Geraghty method.

Recall the Bloch-Kato Selmer groups for  $\text{Ad } \rho_\pi$  and  $\text{Ad } \rho_\pi(1)$  defined by

$$\ker \left[ H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \text{Ad } \rho_\pi) \rightarrow \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \text{Ad } \rho_\pi)}{H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \text{Ad } \rho_\pi)} \right] \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{l \in S \\ l \neq p}} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_l^{\text{ur}}, \text{Ad } \rho_\pi)$$

*Remark 3.* This is the tangent space of the universal deformation ring of  $\rho_\pi$  which classifies deformations  $\rho_A$  of  $\rho_\pi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} & \text{ is crystalline} \\ \rho_A|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} & = \rho_{\pi, l} \otimes_L A \text{ for } l \nmid N. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $x \in \mathcal{X}(L)$  correspond to  $(\pi, A)$  as in the previous theorem. Assume conjecture B and that  $\dim \mathbb{T}_x = \dim \Lambda - l_0$ . Then*

1. *The dimension of  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{Ad } \rho_\pi)$  is zero, the dimension of  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{Ad } \rho_\pi(1)) = l_0$  and we have a canonical exact sequence;*

$$H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{Ad } \rho_\pi(1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda / \mathfrak{m}_\Lambda^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{T}_x} / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{T}_x}^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

2. *Suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is smooth at  $x$ . Then (2) is left exact inducing a canonical isomorphism*

$$H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{Ad } \rho_\pi(1)) \cong V_x.$$

Consequently, combining with Theorem 1

$$H^*(K_1(N; p), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda, L})[\mathfrak{m}]$$

has a canonical structure of a free

$$\bigwedge H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \text{Ad } \rho_\pi(1))$$

module of rank 1.

## 4 Idea of proof of Theorem A

We sketch the construction of  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{W}$  and the map  $w$ , following [MR3692014]. There is a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}^{(N),p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X})$$

such that for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{W}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$  we have discrete set  $w^{-1}(\lambda)$  which is in bijection with "finite slope eigenpackets" of weight  $\lambda$ , tame level  $\mathcal{K}_1(N)$  such that for  $x \in w^{-1}(\lambda)$  we have

$$\phi_{\mathcal{X},x} : \mathbb{T}^{(N),p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow k(x).$$

If  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{W}$  is an affinoid open subset then there is an

$$\mathcal{O}(\Omega)[\Delta_p]$$

module  $\mathcal{D}_\Omega$  where  $\Delta_p$  is the monoid

$$\Delta_p = \coprod_{\mu \in X_*(T)^-} I\mu I.$$

This module  $\mathcal{D}_\Omega$  is a local system on  $Y_{\mathcal{K}'}$  where  $\mathcal{K}' \subset K_1(N)^p I$  as usual. This turns

$$H^*(K_1(N; p), \mathcal{D}_\Omega)$$

is a  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)}^{(N),p}$ -module. Now choose  $(\Omega, h)$  a slope datum where  $h$  is a rational number. There is a Hecke-equivariant decomposition

$$(H^*(K_1(N; p), \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h} \oplus (H^*(K_1(N; p), \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{> h})$$

and define

$$\mathbb{T}_{\Omega,h} := \text{Im} \left( \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)}^{(N),p} \rightarrow \text{End} (H^*(K_1(N; p), \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h}) \right).$$

Then locally on the eigenvariety, every point  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  will have an affinoid neighborhood of the form

$$\text{Sp } \mathbb{T}_{\Omega,h}.$$

Moreover, if  $\lambda \in \Omega(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$  then

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda := \mathcal{D}_\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)} k(\lambda)$$

and there is a natural surjective map

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L} \otimes_L L(\lambda^{-1}),$$

which induces

$$H^*(K_1(N; p), \mathcal{D}_\lambda) \rightarrow H^*(K_1(N; p), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L} \otimes_L L(\lambda^{-1}))$$

that is  $\mathbb{T}^{(N),p}$ -equivariant.

**Definition 1.** *A finite slope eigenpacket*

$$\phi_{\mathcal{X},x} : \mathbb{T}^{(N),p} \rightarrow k(x) = L$$

is

1. *classical if it is in the support of*

$$H^*(K_1(N;p), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L} \otimes_L L(\lambda^{-1}))$$

2. *non-critical if*

$$H^*(K_1(N;p), \mathcal{D}_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow H^*(K_1(N;p), \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,L} \otimes_L L(\lambda^{-1}))_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

*is an isomorphism, where  $\mathfrak{m} = \ker \phi_{\mathcal{X},x}$ . The latter is supported in degrees  $q_0, \dots, q_0 + l_0$*

The key point is now that there is a spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{-i}^\Lambda \left( (H^j(K_1(N;p), \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h}, k(\lambda)) \right) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(K_1(N;p), \mathcal{D}_\lambda)_{\leq h}$$

and because  $\mathcal{O}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Lambda$  is flat we get

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{-i}^\Lambda \left( (H^j(K_1(N;p), \mathcal{D}_\Omega)_{\leq h} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)} \Lambda, k(\lambda)) \right) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(K_1(N;p), \mathcal{D}_\lambda)_{\leq h}.$$

Now we can apply the Calegari-Geraghty commutative algebra Lemma (slightly improved by Hansen) to get Theorem 1.