

# Banach L-representations

Talk 3 - Local p-adic Langlands program

May 2020

# Banach L-representations

Talk 3 - Local  $p$ -adic Langlands program

May 2020

# Sample frame title

In this talk, we will be discussing  $L$ -Banach space representations of  $p$ -adic analytic groups when  $p$  is invertible.

# L-Banach space representation

We assume for the rest of this talk that  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic analytic group,  $L$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , and residue field  $k$ .

# $L$ -Banach space representation

We assume for the rest of this talk that  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic analytic group,  $L$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , and residue field  $k$ .

## Definition

1. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$  is an  $L$ -Banach space  $\Pi$  with an action of  $G$  by continuous linear automorphisms such that the action is continuous.

# $L$ -Banach space representation

We assume for the rest of this talk that  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic analytic group,  $L$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , and residue field  $k$ .

## Definition

1. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$  is an  $L$ -Banach space  $\Pi$  with an action of  $G$  by continuous linear automorphisms such that the action is continuous.
2. A Banach space is called unitary if there exists a  $G$ -invariant norm defining the topology on  $\Pi$ .

# L-Banach space representation

We assume for the rest of this talk that  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic analytic group,  $L$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , and residue field  $k$ .

## Definition

1. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$  is an  $L$ -Banach space  $\Pi$  with an action of  $G$  by continuous linear automorphisms such that the action is continuous.
2. A Banach space is called unitary if there exists a  $G$ -invariant norm defining the topology on  $\Pi$ .

The existence of such a norm is equivalent to the existence of an open bounded  $G$ -invariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice  $\Theta$  in  $\Pi$ .

# L-Banach space representation

We assume for the rest of this talk that  $G$  be a  $p$ -adic analytic group,  $L$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , and residue field  $k$ .

## Definition

1. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$  is an  $L$ -Banach space  $\Pi$  with an action of  $G$  by continuous linear automorphisms such that the action is continuous.
2. A Banach space is called unitary if there exists a  $G$ -invariant norm defining the topology on  $\Pi$ .

The existence of such a norm is equivalent to the existence of an open bounded  $G$ -invariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice  $\Theta$  in  $\Pi$ .



# Admissible representations

## Definition

A unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation is admissible if  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is an admissible smooth representation of  $G$ .

# Admissible representations

## Definition

A unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation is admissible if  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is an admissible smooth representation of  $G$ .

This is equivalent to saying that for every open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $(\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^H$  is finite dimensional.

# Admissible representations

## Definition

A unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation is admissible if  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is an admissible smooth representation of  $G$ .

This is equivalent to saying that for every open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $(\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^H$  is finite dimensional.

## Theorem

Suppose  $G$  has an open pro- $p$  subgroup  $P$ . A representation  $\pi \in \text{Rep}_G$  is admissible if and only if  $\pi^P$  is finite dimensional.

# Admissible representations

## Definition

A unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation is admissible if  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is an admissible smooth representation of  $G$ .

This is equivalent to saying that for every open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $(\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^H$  is finite dimensional.

## Theorem

Suppose  $G$  has an open pro- $p$  subgroup  $P$ . A representation  $\pi \in \text{Rep}_G$  is admissible if and only if  $\pi^P$  is finite dimensional.

Hence, it is enough to check the admissibility condition for a single pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$ .

# Admissible representations

## Definition

A unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation is admissible if  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is an admissible smooth representation of  $G$ .

This is equivalent to saying that for every open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $(\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^H$  is finite dimensional.

## Theorem

Suppose  $G$  has an open pro- $p$  subgroup  $P$ . A representation  $\pi \in \text{Rep}_G$  is admissible if and only if  $\pi^P$  is finite dimensional.

Hence, it is enough to check the admissibility condition for a single pro- $p$  subgroup of  $G$ .

# Irreducible representations

The definition of admissibility does not depend on  $\Theta$ . By [], it is equivalent to showing that  $\Theta^d := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathcal{O}[[H]]$ , for any pro- $p$  subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

# Irreducible representations

The definition of admissibility does not depend on  $\Theta$ . By [], it is equivalent to showing that  $\Theta^d := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathcal{O}[[H]]$ , for any pro- $p$  subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

## Definition

1. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  is irreducible if it does not contain a proper closed  $G$ -invariant subspace.

# Irreducible representations

The definition of admissibility does not depend on  $\Theta$ . By [], it is equivalent to showing that  $\Theta^d := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathcal{O}[[H]]$ , for any pro- $p$  subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

## Definition

1. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  is irreducible if it does not contain a proper closed  $G$ -invariant subspace.
2. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  is absolutely irreducible if  $\Pi \otimes_L L'$  is irreducible for every finite extension  $L'$  of  $L$ .



# Irreducible representations

The definition of admissibility does not depend on  $\Theta$ . By [], it is equivalent to showing that  $\Theta^d := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathcal{O}[[H]]$ , for any pro- $p$  subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

## Definition

1. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  is irreducible if it does not contain a proper closed  $G$ -invariant subspace.
2. An  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  is absolutely irreducible if  $\Pi \otimes_L L'$  is irreducible for every finite extension  $L'$  of  $L$ .

## Lemma 4.1

Let  $\Pi$  be an absolutely irreducible and admissible unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ , and let  $\phi \in \text{End}_{L[G]}^{\text{cont}}(\Pi)$ . If the algebra  $L[\phi]$  is finite dimensional over  $L$ , then  $\phi \in L$ .

### Lemma 4.1

Let  $\Pi$  be an absolutely irreducible and admissible unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ , and let  $\phi \in \text{End}_{L[G]}^{\text{cont}}(\Pi)$ . If the algebra  $L[\phi]$  is finite dimensional over  $L$ , then  $\phi \in L$ .

### Lemma 4.2

Let  $\Pi$  be an irreducible admissible unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ . If  $\text{End}_{L[G]}^{\text{cont}}(\Pi) = L$ , then  $\Pi$  is absolutely irreducible.

### Lemma 4.1

Let  $\Pi$  be an absolutely irreducible and admissible unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ , and let  $\phi \in \text{End}_{L[G]}^{\text{cont}}(\Pi)$ . If the algebra  $L[\phi]$  is finite dimensional over  $L$ , then  $\phi \in L$ .

### Lemma 4.2

Let  $\Pi$  be an irreducible admissible unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ . If  $\text{End}_{L[G]}^{\text{cont}}(\Pi) = L$ , then  $\Pi$  is absolutely irreducible.

### Lemma 4.3

Let  $\Pi$  be a unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ , let  $\Theta$  and  $\Xi$  be open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattices in  $\Pi$ , and let  $\pi$  be an irreducible smooth  $k$ -representation of  $G$ . Then  $\pi$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  if and only if it is a subquotient of  $\Xi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ . Moreover, if  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is a  $G$ -representation of finite length, then so is  $\Xi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ , and their semi simplifications are isomorphic.

### Lemma 4.3

Let  $\Pi$  be a unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$ , let  $\Theta$  and  $\Xi$  be open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattices in  $\Pi$ , and let  $\pi$  be an irreducible smooth  $k$ -representation of  $G$ . Then  $\pi$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  if and only if it is a subquotient of  $\Xi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ . Moreover, if  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is a  $G$ -representation of finite length, then so is  $\Xi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$ , and their semi simplifications are isomorphic.

For a unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$ , with  $\Theta$  an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ , its Schikhof dual is denoted by

$$\Theta^d := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{O})$$

equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. If  $\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k$  is a  $G$ -representation of finite length, then we denote its semi-simplification (which is independent of  $\Theta$ , by the above Lemma) by

$$\bar{\Pi} := (\Theta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k)^{ss}$$

For a compact open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , let  $\text{Mod}_G^{\text{proaug}}(\mathcal{O})$  denote the category of profinite  $\mathcal{O}[[H]]$ -modules with an action of  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  such that the two actions are the same when restricted to  $\mathcal{O}[H]$ .

For a compact open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , let  $\text{Mod}_G^{\text{proaug}}(\mathcal{O})$  denote the category of profinite  $\mathcal{O}[[H]]$ -modules with an action of  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  such that the two actions are the same when restricted to  $\mathcal{O}[H]$ .

#### Lemma 4.4

$\Theta^d$  is an object of  $\text{Mod}_G^{\text{proaug}}(\mathcal{O})$ .



For a compact open subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , let  $Mod_G^{proaug}(\mathcal{O})$  denote the category of profinite  $\mathcal{O}[[H]]$ -modules with an action of  $\mathcal{O}[G]$  such that the two actions are the same when restricted to  $\mathcal{O}[H]$ .

#### Lemma 4.4

$\Theta^d$  is an object of  $Mod_G^{proaug}(\mathcal{O})$ .

#### Lemma 4.5

Suppose  $\Pi$  is irreducible and admissible. Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow \Theta^d$  be a non-zero morphism in  $Mod_G^{proaug}(\mathcal{O})$ . Then, there exists an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice  $\Xi$  in  $\Pi$  such that  $\Xi^d = \phi(M)$ .

# Definitions

1. Let  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  be the category of smooth representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ -torsion modules.

# Definitions

1. Let  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  be the category of smooth representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ -torsion modules.
2. Let  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$  be the full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  consisting of locally finite representations.

# Definitions

1. Let  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  be the category of smooth representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ -torsion modules.
2. Let  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$  be the full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  consisting of locally finite representations.
3. Let  $Mod_G^?( \mathcal{O})$  be a full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$  closed under subquotients and arbitrary direct sums in  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$ .

# Definitions

1. Let  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  be the category of smooth representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ -torsion modules.
2. Let  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$  be the full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  consisting of locally finite representations.
3. Let  $Mod_G^?( \mathcal{O})$  be a full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$  closed under subquotients and arbitrary direct sums in  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$ .
4. Let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$  be a full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{proaug}(\mathcal{O})$  that is equivalent to the dual of  $Mod_G^?( \mathcal{O})$  via Pontryagin duality.

# Definitions

1. Let  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  be the category of smooth representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ -torsion modules.
2. Let  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$  be the full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  consisting of locally finite representations.
3. Let  $Mod_G^?( \mathcal{O})$  be a full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$  closed under subquotients and arbitrary direct sums in  $Mod_G^{lfin}(\mathcal{O})$ .
4. Let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$  be a full subcategory of  $Mod_G^{proaug}(\mathcal{O})$  that is equivalent to the dual of  $Mod_G^?( \mathcal{O})$  via Pontryagin duality. Note that there is an anti-equivalence of categories between  $Mod_G^{sm}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $Mod_G^{proaug}(\mathcal{O})$ . Moreover,  $Mod_G^?( \mathcal{O})$  has injective envelopes, thus  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$  has projective envelopes.

### Lemma 4.6

For an admissible unitary  $L$ -Banach space representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice  $\Theta$  in  $\Pi$  such that  $\Theta^d$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ ;
- (ii) For every open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice  $\Theta$  in  $\Pi$ ,  $\Theta^d$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ .

# Category of $L$ -Banach representations

## Definition

Let  $Ban_G^{adm}(L)$  denote the category of admissible  $L$ -Banach space representations of  $G$ , with morphisms continuous  $G$ -equivariant  $L$ -linear homomorphisms. Let  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$  denote the full subcategory of  $Ban_G^{adm}(L)$  with admissible  $L$ -Banach space representations of  $G$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4.6.



# Category of $L$ -Banach representations

## Definition

Let  $Ban_G^{adm}(L)$  denote the category of admissible  $L$ -Banach space representations of  $G$ , with morphisms continuous  $G$ -equivariant  $L$ -linear homomorphisms. Let  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$  denote the full subcategory of  $Ban_G^{adm}(L)$  with admissible  $L$ -Banach space representations of  $G$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4.6.

## Lemma 4.8

The subcategory  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$  is closed under subquotients in  $Ban_G^{adm}(L)$ . Further, it is abelian.

## Lemma 4.9

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective object in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ , and let  $\tilde{E} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}\tilde{P}$ .

### Lemma 4.9

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective object in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ , and let  $\tilde{E} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}\tilde{P}$ .

There is an exact functor:

$$\text{Ban}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{\text{adm}} \rightarrow \text{RMod}(\tilde{E}[1/p])$$

$$\Pi \mapsto m(\Pi) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$$

### Lemma 4.9

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective object in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ , and let  $\tilde{E} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}\tilde{P}$ .  
There is an exact functor:

$$\text{Ban}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{\text{adm}} \rightarrow \text{RMod}(\tilde{E}[1/p])$$

$$\Pi \mapsto m(\Pi) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$$

### Corollary 4.10

For a projective object  $\tilde{P}$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$  and an object  $\Pi$  of  $\text{Ban}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{\text{adm}}$ , there exists a smallest closed  $G$ -invariant subspace  $\Pi_1$  of  $\Pi$  such that  $m(\Pi/\Pi_1)$  is zero.

## The case $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Now, let us apply this to the particular case of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

## The case $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Now, let us apply this to the particular case of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

### Lemma 4.11

Let  $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $\zeta : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$  be a continuous character of  $Z$ , the center of  $G$ . Suppose  $\Pi$  is an admissible  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$  with central character  $\zeta$ , and let  $\Theta$  be an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . Let  $Ban_{G,\zeta}^{adm}(L)$  denote the category of admissible  $L$ -Banach space representations of  $G$  on which  $Z$  acts by the character  $\zeta$ . Then,

# The case $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Now, let us apply this to the particular case of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

## Lemma 4.11

Let  $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $\zeta : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$  be a continuous character of  $Z$ , the center of  $G$ . Suppose  $\Pi$  is an admissible  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$  with central character  $\zeta$ , and let  $\Theta$  be an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . Let  $Ban_{G,\zeta}^{adm}(L)$  denote the category of admissible  $L$ -Banach space representations of  $G$  on which  $Z$  acts by the character  $\zeta$ . Then,

(i)  $\Theta^d$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$  ;

# The case $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Now, let us apply this to the particular case of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

## Lemma 4.11

Let  $G = GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and  $\zeta : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$  be a continuous character of  $Z$ , the center of  $G$ . Suppose  $\Pi$  is an admissible  $L$ -Banach space representation of  $G$  with central character  $\zeta$ , and let  $\Theta$  be an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . Let  $Ban_{G,\zeta}^{adm}(L)$  denote the category of admissible  $L$ -Banach space representations of  $G$  on which  $Z$  acts by the character  $\zeta$ . Then,

- (i)  $\Theta^d$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$  ;
- (ii)  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm} = Ban_{G,\zeta}^{adm}(L)$ .



# Projective envelopes

Let us now try to understand the endomorphism rings  $\tilde{E}$  of the projective envelopes  $\tilde{P}$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ .

## Lemma 4.13

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective envelope of an irreducible object  $S$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ . Suppose  $\pi := S^\vee$  is a smooth irreducible  $k$ -representation of  $G$ ,  $\Pi$  is an object of  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$ , and  $\Theta$  is an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . TFAE:

# Projective envelopes

Let us now try to understand the endomorphism rings  $\tilde{E}$  of the projective envelopes  $\tilde{P}$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ .

## Lemma 4.13

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective envelope of an irreducible object  $S$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ . Suppose  $\pi := S^\vee$  is a smooth irreducible  $k$ -representation of  $G$ ,  $\Pi$  is an object of  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$ , and  $\Theta$  is an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . TFAE:

(i)  $\pi$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta \otimes k$ ;

# Projective envelopes

Let us now try to understand the endomorphism rings  $\tilde{E}$  of the projective envelopes  $\tilde{P}$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ .

## Lemma 4.13

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective envelope of an irreducible object  $S$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ . Suppose  $\pi := S^\vee$  is a smooth irreducible  $k$ -representation of  $G$ ,  $\Pi$  is an object of  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$ , and  $\Theta$  is an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . TFAE:

- (i)  $\pi$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta \otimes k$ ;
- (ii)  $S$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta^d \otimes k$ ;

# Projective envelopes

Let us now try to understand the endomorphism rings  $\tilde{E}$  of the projective envelopes  $\tilde{P}$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ .

## Lemma 4.13

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective envelope of an irreducible object  $S$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ . Suppose  $\pi := S^\vee$  is a smooth irreducible  $k$ -representation of  $G$ ,  $\Pi$  is an object of  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$ , and  $\Theta$  is an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . TFAE:

- (i)  $\pi$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta \otimes k$ ;
- (ii)  $S$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta^d \otimes k$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d \otimes k) \neq 0$ ;

# Projective envelopes

Let us now try to understand the endomorphism rings  $\tilde{E}$  of the projective envelopes  $\tilde{P}$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ .

## Lemma 4.13

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective envelope of an irreducible object  $S$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ . Suppose  $\pi := S^\vee$  is a smooth irreducible  $k$ -representation of  $G$ ,  $\Pi$  is an object of  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$ , and  $\Theta$  is an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . TFAE:

- (i)  $\pi$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta \otimes k$ ;
- (ii)  $S$  is a subquotient of  $\Theta^d \otimes k$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d \otimes k) \neq 0$ ;
- (iv)  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d) \neq 0$

## Lemma 4.14

Suppose  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $S$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\Theta$  are as in Lemma 4.13. Then,

(i) If  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d) \neq 0$ , then  $\pi$  is an admissible representation of  $G$ .

### Lemma 4.14

Suppose  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $S$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\Theta$  are as in Lemma 4.13. Then,

- (i) If  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d) \neq 0$ , then  $\pi$  is an admissible representation of  $G$ .
- (ii)  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(S) \cong \text{End}_G(\pi)$  is a finite field extension of  $k$ .

### Lemma 4.14

Suppose  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $S$ ,  $\pi$  and  $\Theta$  are as in Lemma 4.13. Then,

- (i) If  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d) \neq 0$ , then  $\pi$  is an admissible representation of  $G$ .
- (ii)  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(S) \cong \text{End}_G(\pi)$  is a finite field extension of  $k$ .

### Lemma 4.15

Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective envelope of an irreducible object  $S$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$  with  $d := \dim_k(\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(S))$  finite. Let  $M$  be in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ , such that  $M_k := M \otimes k$  is of finite length in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$ . Then,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, M)$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module of rank equal to the multiplicity with which  $S$  occurs as a subquotient of  $M_k$  multiplied by  $d$ .



Let  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  be irreducible pairwise non-isomorphic objects of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})$  such that  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(S_i)$  is finite dimensional over  $k$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\tilde{P}$  be a projective envelope of  $S := \bigoplus S_i$  and let  $\tilde{E} := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P})$ . Then,  $\tilde{E}/\text{rad}\tilde{E} \cong \prod \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(S_i)$ , where  $\text{rad}(E)$  denotes the Jacobson radical of  $E$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $\pi_i := S_i^\vee$  be a smooth irreducible representation of  $G$ .

# The End

## Proposition 4.17

Let  $\Pi$  be an object in  $Ban_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}^{adm}$ , and let  $\Theta$  be an open bounded  $G$ -invariant lattice in  $\Pi$ . Then,  $Hom_{\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O})}(\tilde{P}, \Theta^d)$  is a finitely generated module over  $\tilde{E}$ .